



## Extrusion of the C-terminal helix in navel orangeworm moth pheromone-binding protein (AtraPBP1) controls pheromone binding<sup>☆</sup>

Wei Xu, Xianzhong Xu, Walter S. Leal, James B. Ames<sup>\*</sup>

Department of Entomology, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 10 November 2010

Available online 3 December 2010

#### Keywords:

AtraPBP1

NMR

Pheromone-binding protein

*Amyelois transitella*

Pheromone

Navel orangeworm moth

Histidine protonation switch

Disulfide bridge

### ABSTRACT

The navel orangeworm, *Amyelois transitella* (Walker), is an agricultural insect pest that can be controlled by disrupting male–female communication with sex pheromones, a technique known as mating disruption. Insect pheromone-binding proteins (PBPs) provide fast transport of hydrophobic pheromones through aqueous sensillar lymph and promote sensitive delivery of pheromones to receptors. Here we present a mutational analysis on a PBP from *A. transitella* (AtraPBP1) to evaluate how the C-terminal helix in this protein controls pheromone binding as a function of pH. Pheromone binds tightly to AtraPBP1 at neutral pH, but the binding is much weaker at pH below 5. Deletion of the entire C-terminal helix (residues 129–142) causes more than 100-fold increase in pheromone-binding affinity at pH 5 and only a 1.5-fold increase at pH 7. A similar pH-dependent increase in pheromone binding is also seen for the H80A/H95A double mutant that promotes extrusion of the C-terminal helix by disabling salt bridges at each end of the helix. The single mutants (H80A and H95A) also exhibit pheromone binding at pH below 5, but with ~2-fold weaker affinity. NMR and circular dichroism data demonstrate a large overall structural change in each of these mutants at pH 4.5, indicating an extrusion of the C-terminal helix that profoundly affects the overall structure of the low pH form. Our results confirm that sequestration of the C-terminal helix at low pH as seen in the recent NMR structure may serve to block pheromone binding. We propose that extrusion of these C-terminal residues at neutral pH (or by the mutations in this study) exposes a hydrophobic cleft that promotes high affinity pheromone binding.

© 2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

The navel orangeworm, *Amyelois transitella* (Walker) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae), is the most serious insect pest of almonds and pistachios in California, and a major pest of walnuts, figs and a number of other crops. This agricultural pest is primarily controlled with pyrethroids and insects growth regulators, but alternative methods of control, including sex pheromone-based mating disruption, are sorely needed. A potential way of controlling insect pests is to disrupt detection of sex pheromones. The sex pheromone system of this species has been previously

identified [1,2], but some constituents are unstable thus requiring the development of stable alternatives (parapheromones) for practical applications. We aim at employing olfactory proteins to screen potential attractants (parapheromones), an approach termed “reverse chemical ecology” [3]. Previously, we have identified olfactory proteins from the navel orangeworm, including a male antennae-specific pheromone-binding protein, AtraPBP1 [4]. There is growing evidence in the literature suggesting that pheromone-binding proteins (PBPs) contribute to the sensitivity and possibly the selectivity of the insect's olfactory system [5].

A molecular mechanism for moth PBPs has been proposed based on the PBP from silkworm moth, BmorPBP1, for which a pH-dependent conformational change was shown to be involved in pheromone binding and release [6–8]. Indeed, previous structural studies showed the C-terminal part of PBPs, which is unstructured in pheromone–PBP complex [9] and forms an  $\alpha$ -helix at low pH that competes with pheromone for the binding pocket [10–12], thus enabling the delivery of the pheromone in acidic environment similar to that formed by the negatively charged dendrite surfaces of the olfactory receptor neurons [13]. Functional studies also showed that BmorPBP1, when co-expressed with pheromone receptor BmorOR1 in the empty neuron system of *Drosophila*

**Abbreviations:** PBP, pheromone-binding protein; ApolPBP1, *Antheraea polyphemus* pheromone-binding protein-1; AtraPBP1, *Amyelois transitella* pheromone-binding protein-1; BmorPBP1, *Bombyx mori* pheromone-binding protein-1; HSQC, heteronuclear single quantum coherence; IPTG, isopropyl  $\beta$ -D-1-thiogalactoside; SDS–PAGE, sodium dodecylsulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis; SEC, size exclusion chromatography.

<sup>☆</sup> This work was supported by NIH Grants EY012347 (J.B.A.) and RR11973 (UC Davis NMR), USDA-AFRI Grant 2010-65105-20582 (W.S.L.), NSF Grant 0234769 (W.S.L.), and UC Davis NMR facility.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Fax: +1 530 752 8995.

E-mail address: [ames@chem.ucdavis.edu](mailto:ames@chem.ucdavis.edu) (J.B. Ames).

*melanogaster*, enhanced the response to the pheromone, indicating that OBPs contribute to the inordinate sensitivity of the insect's olfactory system [5].

Our previous studies have suggested that AtrBPB1 undergoes a pH-dependent conformational change [4]. The recent NMR structure of AtrBPB1 [14] reveals two pH-dependent salt bridges involving H80/E132 and H95/E141 at each end of the C-terminal helix (termed histidine protonation switch) that were suggested to control pheromone binding. Here we present a mutational analysis on AtrBPB1 to further understand how the C-terminal helix gates pheromone binding as a function of pH. Deletion of the entire C-terminal helix (residues 129–142, called AtrBPB1 $\Delta$ 129N–142V) causes a more than 100-fold increase in pheromone-binding affinity at pH 5 and only 1.5-fold increase at pH 7. A similar increase in pheromone binding is seen for the H80A/H95A double mutant and single mutants (H80A and H95A) that destabilize the C-terminal helix by disabling the histidine protonation switch. NMR and circular dichroism data demonstrate large overall structural changes in each of these mutants at low pH, indicating that removal of the C-terminal helix by these mutations profoundly affects the overall structure. We propose that extrusion of the C-terminal helix at neutral pH or by the mutations in this study exposes a hydrophobic site to promote pheromone binding.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Expression and purification of AtrBPB1 mutants

The following four plasmids for expression (pET) vectors were constructed with the QuickChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) [15] by using the pETAtrBPB1 vector [4] as template DNA: pETAtrBPB1H80A (His-80 replaced by Ala), pETAtrBPB1H95A (His-95 replaced by Ala), pETAtrBPB1H80AH95A (His-80 and His-95 replaced by Ala), pETAtrBPB1 $\Delta$ 129N–142V (C-terminus from Asn-129 to Val-142 deleted). Non-labeled proteins were prepared by a periplasmic expression, which is known to generate properly folded, functional OBPs [4,15]. Uniformly  $^{15}\text{N}$ -labeled proteins were expressed in *Escherichia coli* and purified by ion-exchange and gel-filtration chromatography as described previously [14]. Typically, around 10 mg of purified protein was obtained from a 1-l culture. The identity and integrity of the final protein sample was confirmed by SDS-PAGE and LC-ESI/MS. CD spectra were recorded by using a J-810 spectropolarimeter (Jasco, Easton, MD) with protein in either 20 mM ammonium acetate, pH 7, or 20 mM sodium acetate, pH 5.5 [4].

### 2.2. Cold pheromone-binding assays

Binding was measured by separately incubating 5  $\mu\text{g}$  of protein, with 1  $\mu\text{l}$ , 3.2 mM of the major constituent of the sex pheromone, (Z,Z)-11,13-hexadecadienal (Z11, Z13–16Ald), in a 50  $\mu\text{l}$  solution. The unbound and bound protein were separated using an ultracentrifugal device, Z11, Z13–16Ald was extracted from the bound protein with hexane after lowering pH, and quantified by gas chromatography, according to a previously reported “cold binding assay” [4,8].

### 2.3. NMR spectroscopy

Samples of wildtype and the various mutants of AtrBPB1 for NMR analysis consisted of  $^{15}\text{N}$ -labeled protein (0.5 mM) [14] dissolved in 0.3 ml of a 95%  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ /5%  $^2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  solution containing 10 mM sodium acetate (pH 4.5) or 10 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.0). All NMR experiments were performed at 25  $^\circ\text{C}$  on Bruker Avance III 600 MHz spectrometer equipped with a four-channel

interface and triple-resonance cryo-probe (TCI) with pulsed field gradients. The  $^{15}\text{N}$ – $^1\text{H}$  HSQC spectra were recorded on a sample of  $^{15}\text{N}$ -labeled AtrBPB1 (in 95%  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 5%  $^2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ). The number of complex points and acquisition times were 256, 180 ms ( $^{15}\text{N}$  ( $F_1$ )) and 512, 64 ms ( $^1\text{H}$  ( $F_2$ )).


## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Pheromone-binding studies


Previous pheromone-binding studies on wildtype AtrBPB1 showed that sex pheromone Z11,Z13–16Ald binds very tightly at high pH, in contrast to no detectable binding at low pH (Fig. 1) [4]. A mutant sample of truncated AtrBPB1 protein (called AtrBPB1 $\Delta$ 129N–142V), that eliminates the C-terminal helix, was prepared under the same conditions as wildtype AtrBPB1. The truncation mutant showed about 1.5-fold higher affinity binding to Z11,Z13–16Ald compared to that of wildtype AtrBPB1 at pH 7 (Fig. 1). In stark contrast to the lack of any detectable pheromone binding to wildtype AtrBPB1 at pH 5, the truncation mutant retained its binding to Z11,Z13–16Ald at pH 5 (Fig. 1), although with lower affinity than binding at pH 7. The same phenomenon was observed previously for BmorBPB1 [11]. We then mutated H80 and H95 to examine their role in stabilizing the C-terminal helix via a histidine protonation switch [14]. AtrBPB1H80A/H95A, AtrBPB1H80A and AtrBPB1H95A each retained pheromone binding at low pH like that of the C-terminal truncation mutant, AtrBPB1 $\Delta$ 129N–142V (Fig. 2). At pH 7, the His mutants each showed equal or even higher binding affinity to Z11,Z13–16Ald than native AtrBPB1. In summary, the C-terminal truncation mutant and His mutants all showed relatively high affinity pheromone binding at pH 5 that contrasts with the lack of pheromone binding to wildtype AtrBPB1 at pH 5. The high affinity pheromone binding by each mutant at pH 5 suggests that the C-terminal helix is either destabilized or otherwise absent in these mutants to allow pheromone binding to both conformational forms at high and low pH.

### 3.2. Structural analysis by NMR and circular dichroism

To verify that the mutants in this study are structurally intact, we recorded  $^{15}\text{N}$ – $^1\text{H}$  HSQC NMR spectra of each mutant (Fig. 3). The peaks in the spectra represent main chain and side-chain amide groups that serve as fingerprints of overall conformation. The NMR spectra of each mutant at pH 7.0 are fairly similar to that



**Fig. 1.** Binding of the major constituent of the navel orangeworm sex pheromone, Z11,Z13–16Ald, to a pheromone-binding protein expressed in the pheromone-detecting sensilla in male antennae, AtrBPB1 and its C-terminal truncated form, AtrBPB1 $\Delta$ 129N–142V. The native conformation does not bind Z11,Z13–16Ald at low pH, but binding in acidic conditions is somewhat retained in the truncated protein thus suggesting that the C-terminus plays a role in pheromone binding and release.




**Fig. 2.** Binding of Z11Z13-16Ald to AtrBPB1, AtrBPB1H80AH95A, AtrBPB1H80A and AtrBPB1H95A. Unlike native AtrBPB1, all these mutated proteins having both or only single histidine (His-80 or His-95) replaced by alanine retained binding to Z11Z13-16Ald at low pH. This result confirms two pH-dependent salt bridges involving H80 and H95 that control pheromone binding. The mutation of these two histidines destabilizes the C-terminal helix and profoundly affects the pheromone-binding affinity and overall structure of the low pH form.

of wildtype, confirming that the mutants all adopt a similar native tertiary structure. The  $^{15}\text{N}$ - $^1\text{H}$  HSQC spectra also indicate that the mutants are properly folded at low pH. However, the NMR spectra of the mutants at pH 4.5 are somewhat different from the spectrum of wildtype AtrBPB1 at pH 4.5. These spectral differences at pH 4.5 suggest that the C-terminal helix is destabilized by the His mutants. The unstructured C-terminus in these mutants at pH 4.5 leads to the exposure of hydrophobic residues in the protein core that have been implicated in pheromone binding [11,12] and perhaps explains why these mutants bind to pheromone at pH 4.5.


Near-UV circular dichroism (CD) spectra of AtrBPB1 $\Delta$ 129N-142V at high and low pH were somewhat different from that of wildtype AtrBPB1 (Fig. 4). The wildtype AtrBPB1 near-UV CD spectrum showed a maximum at 254 nm and three minima at 269, 278 and 287 nm. However, the near-UV spectrum of AtrBPB1 $\Delta$ 129N-142V showed a different result with a maximum at 292 nm and minimum at 268 nm (Fig. 4). These spectral differences suggest there may be local structural changes near aromatic side-chain groups caused by the C-terminal truncation. Indeed, W37 and F76 make close contacts with the C-terminal helix in the NMR structure of AtrBPB1. The near-UV CD spectra of AtrBPB1H80AH95A, AtrBPB1H80A and AtrBPB1H95A are all very similar to that of AtrBPB1 $\Delta$ 129N-142V, but very different from that of wildtype AtrBPB1. The spectral similarity between His mutants and C-terminal truncation mutant suggests that the C-terminal helix must be destabilized in the His mutants like the unstructured C-terminus seen in the structure of BmorBPB1 at pH 7.0 [11,12].

### 3.3. pH-dependent extrusion of C-terminal helix

The recent NMR structure of AtrBPB1 at pH 4.5 [14] shows a C-terminal helix (sequestered inside a protein cavity) that is attached to the protein core by salt bridges formed by H80/E132 and H95/E141 at each end of the helix. The C-terminal helix inside AtrBPB1 also interacts with residues that are implicated to interact with pheromone [11,12]. H80 and H95 were both measured to have side-chain  $\text{pK}_a$  values near 5.5 [14]. Deprotonation of the H80 and H95 imidazole side-chains at pH 7 is expected to abolish the two salt bridges (H80/E132 and H95/E141) that in turn destabilizes the C-terminal helix at pH 7 (termed histidine protonation switch). Indeed, the structure of BmorBPB1 at pH 7 shows an unstructured and exposed C-terminus [11,12]. Mutations that substitute uncharged residues in the histidine protonation switch (H95A, D132N, and E141A) dramatically affect the pH-dependent binding



**Fig. 3.** 2D  $^{15}\text{N}$ - $^1\text{H}$  HSQC spectra of  $^{15}\text{N}$ -labeled AtrBPB1 at pH 4.5 (A) and pH 7.0 (B). Spectra are superimposed for wildtype (black), H80A (green) and H95A (red). All spectra were recorded at 600 MHz  $^1\text{H}$  frequency and 298 K.



**Fig. 4.** Near-UV circular dichroism analysis of AtrBPB1, AtrBPB1H80AH95A, AtrBPB1H80A and AtrBPB1H95A at pH 7 (blue) and pH 5.5 (green). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

of bombykol pheromone to BmorBPB1, demonstrating the functional importance of the switch [15]. In this study, we show that removal of the C-terminal helix promotes pheromone binding to the low pH form of AtrBPB1. Also, mutations of H80 and H95 disable salt bridges at the two ends of the C-terminal helix and allow pheromone binding at pH 4.5. We propose that sequestration of the C-terminal helix serves to block pheromone binding at low pH. Deprotonation of H80 and H95 at neutral pH abolishes salt bridges at the two ends of the C-terminal helix that promote detachment and extrusion of the helix from the protein core. This extrusion of the unstructured C-terminus allows exposure hydrophobic residues in the protein core that in turn interact with bound pheromone.

## Acknowledgment

We are grateful to Jerry Dallas for help with NMR experiments.

## References

- [1] J.A. Coffelt, K.W. Vick, P.E. Sonnet, R.E. Doolittle, Isolation, identification, and synthesis of a female sex pheromone of the navel orangeworm, *Amyelois transitella* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae), *J. Chem. Ecol.* 5 (1979) 955–966.
- [2] W.S. Leal, A.L. Parra-Pedrazzoli, K.E. Kaissling, T.I. Morgan, F.G. Zaloni, D.J. Pesak, E.A. Dundulis, C.S. Burks, B.S. Higbee, Unusual pheromone chemistry in the navel orangeworm: novel sex attractants and a behavioral antagonist, *Naturwissenschaften* 92 (2005) 139–146.
- [3] W.S. Leal, Pheromone reception, *Top. Curr. Chem.* 240 (2005) 1–36.
- [4] W.S. Leal, Y. Ishida, J. Pelletier, W. Xu, J. Rayo, X. Xu, J.B. Ames, Olfactory proteins mediating chemical communication in the navel orangeworm moth, *Amyelois transitella*, *PLoS One* 4 (2009) e7235.
- [5] Z. Syed, Y. Ishida, K. Taylor, D.A. Kimbrell, W.S. Leal, Pheromone reception in fruit flies expressing a moth's odorant receptor, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 103 (2006) 16538–16543.
- [6] H. Wojtasek, W.S. Leal, Conformational change in the pheromone-binding protein from *Bombyx mori* induced by pH and by interaction with membranes, *J. Biol. Chem.* 274 (1999) 30950–30956.
- [7] F.F. Damberger, L. Nikonova, R. Horst, G. Peng, W.S. Leal, K. Wuthrich, NMR characterization of a pH-dependent equilibrium between two folded solution conformations of the pheromone-binding protein from *Bombyx mori*, *Protein Sci.* 9 (2000) 1038–1041.
- [8] W.S. Leal, A.M. Chen, Y. Ishida, V.P. Chiang, M.L. Erickson, T.I. Morgan, J.M. Tsuruda, Kinetics and molecular properties of pheromone binding and release, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 102 (2005) 5386–5391.
- [9] B.H. Sandler, L. Nikonova, W.S. Leal, J. Clardy, Sexual attraction in the silkworm moth: structure of the pheromone-binding-protein-bombykol complex, *Chem. Biol.* 7 (2000) 143–151.
- [10] R. Horst, F.F. Damberger, P. Luginbuhl, P. Guntert, G. Peng, L. Nikonova, W.S. Leal, K. Wuthrich, NMR structure reveals intramolecular regulation mechanism for pheromone binding and release, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 98 (2001) 14374–14379.
- [11] F.F. Damberger, Y. Ishida, W.S. Leal, K. Wuthrich, Structural basis of ligand binding and release in insect pheromone-binding proteins: NMR structure of *Antheraea polyphemus* BPB1 at pH 4.5, *J. Mol. Biol.* 373 (2007) 811–819.
- [12] C. Lautenschlager, W.S. Leal, J. Clardy, *Bombyx mori* pheromone-binding protein binding nonpheromone ligands: implications for pheromone recognition, *Structure* 15 (2007) 1148–1154.
- [13] T.A. Keil, Surface coats of pore tubules and olfactory sensory dendrites of a silkworm revealed by cationic markers, *Tissue Cell* 16 (1984) 705–717.
- [14] X. Xu, W. Xu, J. Rayo, Y. Ishida, W.S. Leal, J.B. Ames, NMR structure of navel orangeworm moth pheromone-binding protein (AtrBPB1): implications for pH-sensitive pheromone detection, *Biochemistry* 49 (2010) 1469–1476.
- [15] W. Xu, W.S. Leal, Molecular switches for pheromone release from a moth pheromone-binding protein, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 373 (2008) 559–564.